

TRUST LEVELS LIKE IN DISCOURSE (CIVILIZED DISCOURSE CONSTRUCTION KIT)

Status

● Open

Priority

5 Nice to have

Task

Trust Levels like in Discourse (Civilized Discourse Construction Kit)

Add a timesheet

Add a timesheet for this task

Description

- <https://blog.discourse.org/2018/06/understanding-discourse-trust-levels/>
- <https://blog.codinghorror.com/civilized-discourse-construction-kit/>
- <https://blog.codinghorror.com/please-read-the-comments/>

Emails

Assigned to
developer

Assigned by
marclaporte

Also visible to

1- Visible to Anonymous (Public content)

Related

- ● 3 Want: Automatically use/display OpenGraph metadata/previews for external links added to Tiki (at least fetch the human-readable title when an inserted URL provides it in its metadata) for readability assigned to developer
- ● 4 Good thing: Enforce duplicates detection at item creation when typing a wiki page or tracker item/task, based on the title/summary assigned to developer

Created

Monday 29 of November, 2021 11:12:41 EST

by Marc Laporte

LastModif

Wednesday 23 of August, 2023 10:37:14 EDT

Comments



Someone commenting on the problem of wikis vs git
MRs workflows

Jeff Fortin T. 2023-08-09 13:16

Another interpretation of the problem in <https://duckalignment.academy/wiki-or-git/>
:

> A wiki is more accessible, which helps get more people involved, but the workflow is worse. It's not that "a git-based workflow is more familiar to developers", it's that the editorial workflow is bad on a wiki.

>

> In a wiki, either people can edit the page or they can't. This leads to one of two opposite outcomes: 1. they make big edits without talking to anyone which makes relying on the wiki for authoritative info risky. 2. people are afraid to make minor edits because they don't want to break anything.

>

> git-based docs allow anyone* to submit a proposal by way of a pull request. Then the trusted contributors can work with them to refine it if needed. It also allows trusted contributors to make a proposal for broader comment. This workflow improves the overall collaboration in the project's documentation, even though it comes with a steeper learning curve.

>

> Spam negates the wiki's lower barrier to entry anyway. Any website that allows content submission will be a target for spam. A well-known project's wiki is a particularly attractive target. This means you have to put barriers in place to prevent (at least some) vandalism.



Wiki with moderation

Marc Laporte 2023-08-09 14:16

<https://doc.tiki.org/Flagged-Revisions>